ST. TAMMANY PARISH COUNCIL

ORDINANCE

ORDINANCE CALENDAR NO: 6409

COUNCIL SPONSOR: LORINO/COOPER

ORDINANCE COUNCIL SERIES NO:

PROVIDED BY: PLANNING DEVELOPMENT

INTRODUCED BY:

SECONDED BY:

ON THE 9 DAY OF JULY , 2020

ORDINANCE TO REVOKE AN UNOPENED PORTION OF CRAFTSMAN COURT, LOCATED EAST OF RUBY STREET AND WEST OF COVINGTON COTTAGE LANE IN PHASE 1 OF THE COVINGTON PLACE COTTAGES SUBDIVISION, SOUTH OF COVINGTON, LOUISIANA, WARD 3, DISTRICT 2. (REV 20-05-004)

WHEREAS, the petitioner, who owns property abutting the aforementioned Parish street right-of-way, has petitioned the St. Tammany Parish Council to revoke/alienate a portion of the dedication, specifically a portion of Craftsman Court, located east of Ruby Street and west of Covington Cottage Lane in Phase 1 of the Covington Place Cottages Subdivision, south of Covington, Louisiana; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Public Works, and Department of Planning & Development have reviewed and researched said request and have reported their findings to the St. Tammany Parish Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, the St. Tammany Parish Planning Commission, upon review of said petition, and after having considered all of the facts relevant to this request, has concluded that said portion of said street right-of-way is no longer needed for public purposes as defined pursuant to statutory law, and therefore recommends to the Parish Council the adoption of the proposed revocation request.

THE PARISH OF ST. TAMMANY HEREBY ORDAINS that it hereby concurs with the findings of the Planning Commission and therefore grants approval of the revocation/alienation request for the portion of the street right-of-way as referred to above, subject to the following:

SECTION I: The petitioners, or any other adjacent property owner who wishes to participate, if any, shall enter into a private cash sale with the Parish to conclude the revocation/alienation process as set forth in St. Tammany Parish Code of Ordinances Section 35-1, and in accordance with the provisions of La. R.S. 48:701, et seq. and/or La. R.S. 48:711, et seq.

SECTION II: Following execution of the private cash sale(s), the petitioners shall assimilate the right-of-way into its abutting lots through the Parish's administrative resubdivision process.

SECTION III: All subsurface mineral rights therein shall be retained by St. Tammany Parish, unless otherwise stated within this Ordinance; and the Parish shall reserve the right to retain any easements and/or servitudes existing at the time of revocation and/or may also require the establishment of same if the Parish deems it necessary.

REPEAL: All ordinances or parts of Ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.SEVERABILITY: If any provision of this Ordinance shall be held to be invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions herein which can be given effect without the invalid provision and to this end the provisions of this Ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.EFFECTIVE DATE: This Ordinance shall become effective fifteen (15) days after adoption.

MOVED FOR ADOPTION BY: ______SECONDED BY: _____

WHEREUPON THIS ORDINANCE WAS SUBMITTED TO A VOTE AND RESULTED IN THE FOLLOWING:

YEAS:	
NAYS:	
ABSTAIN: _	
ABSENT:	

THIS ORDINANCE WAS DECLARED DULY ADOPTED AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE PARISH COUNCIL ON THE <u>6</u> DAY OF <u>AUGUST</u>, <u>2020</u>; AND BECOMES ORDINANCE COUNCIL SERIES NO _____.

MICHAEL R. LORINO, JR. , COUNCIL CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:

THERESA L. FORD, COUNCIL CLERK

MICHAEL B. COOPER, PARISH PRESIDENT

Published Introduction: JUNE 24, 2020

Published Adoption: _____, 2020

Delivered to Parish President: _____, 2020 at _____

Returned to Council Clerk: _____, 2020 at _____

	RIPTION OF A 427 SQ. FT. PARCEL OF R/W E REVOKED:	DESCRIPTION OF A 449 SQ. FT. PARCEL OF R/W TO BE REVOKED:	1. A.T. Arus
EAST AND GRAF DISTA BEGIN FROM CURV LENG LENG LENG MWTH A CH A DIS TURN DD 12.47	THE POINT OF BEGINNING CO ALONG A THE POINT OF BEGINNING CO ALONG A TURNING; THE POINT OF BEGINNING CO ALONG A TURNING; THE POINT OF BEGINNING CO ALONG A THE TURNING TO THE LEFT WITH AN ARC TH OF 12.56', WITH A RADIUS OF 8.00' A CHORD BEARING OF N30'28'52"E, WITH ORD LENGTH OF 11.31', THENCE N75'27'00"E STANCE OF 50.00'; THENCE WITH A CURVE ING TO THE LEFT WITH AN ARC LENGTH OF '', WITH A RADIUS OF 8.00', WITH A CHORD ING OF 559'07'45"E, WITH A CHORD ING OF 559'07'45"E, WITH A CHORD	COMMENCING FROM THE INTERSECTION OF THE EASTERN RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF RUBY STREET AND THE NORTHERN RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF CRAFTSMEN COURT AND GO N75'26'5'E A DISTANCE OF 97.01', THENCE N75'28'00'E A DISTANCE OF 65.89', THENCE WITH A CURVE TURNING TO THE RIGHT WITH AN ARC LENGTH OF 86.85', WITH A RADIUS OF 325.00', WITH A CHORD BEARING OF N83'52'33'E, WITH A CHORD LENGTH OF 86.59', THENCE N88'28'08'E A DISTANCE OF 16.95' TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING; FROM THE POINT OF BEGINNING GO ALONG A CURVE TURNING TO THE LEFT WITH AN ARC LENGTH OF 14.81', WITH A RADIUS OF 8.00',	and the second
	TH OF 11.24"; THENCE N75"28"00"E A NCE OF 65.89" BACK TO THE POINT OF INING.	WITH A CHORD BEARING OF N38'29'09"E, WITH A CHORD LENGTH OF 12.79', THENCE S83'50'28"E A DISTANCE OF 53.46', THENCE WITH A CURVE	
	PARCEL CONTAINS 427 SQ. FT. OF LAND OR LESS	TURNING TO THE LEFT WITH AN ARC LENGTH OF 10.55', WITH A RADIUS OF B.00', WITH A CHORD BEARING OF S52'22'42'E, WITH A CHORD LENGTH OF 9.81'; THENCE N88'34'23'W A DISTANCE OF 68.90' BACK TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.	
	FORM PROP COVIN	SAID PARCEL CONTAINS 449 SQ. FT. OF LAND MORE OR LESS	
POND	FORMERLY PROPOSED LOT LOT 29	FORMERLY PROPOSED PROPOSED PROPOSED LOT 44	FORMERLY PROPOSED COMMON AREA
	ON OF N75'27'00 E IG R/W 50.00'	85' R=325.00' 16 pr/ 15	PORTION OF EXISTING R/W TO BE REVOKED 449 SQ. FT.
427 s	50. FT. 55.89 7.01' S75'28'00"W CB	NB3' 52' 33"E 588'28'08"E 888'34'23"W	
Ш Ш Ш Ц Ц С С	1.0.0.1	P.O.B.	
		STING CONCRETE ROAD)	
RUBY			
1			
		CURVE TABLE	
REFERENCE: COVINGTON PLACE COTTAGES Ph.1 p Map File No.: 4963	lat	C2 8.00' 12.47' 11.24'	CHORD BEARING N30'28'52"E S59'07'45"E N38'29'09"E
Dote Filed: 2-4-2011	Revocation pla	C4 8.00' 10.56' 9.81'	552*22'42"E
TW	O PORTIONS OF EXISTI		
c c	OVINGTON PLACE COTT		
	SEC. 42, T-7-S, ST. TAMMANY PARIS		
J			A "APPLICABLE YS"
NO ATTEMPT HAS BEEN MADE BY RAN	DALL W. BROWN & ASSOC., INC. TO VERIFY TITLE, SI S, EASEMENTS, RIGHTS OF WAY, DEED RESTRICTIONS, C	URVEYED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LOUISIAN	A APPLICABLE
WEILANDS OR EMARCHINENTAL ISSUES THAN THOSE FURNISHED BY THE CLIEF	or other encumbrances on this property other F(TANDARDS FOR PROPERTY BOUNDARY SURVEY	
	Randall W. Brown & A	Associates, Inc. Date: MARCH 9, 2	2020
ADVANCE		Deciset No. (CB5)	
ADVANCE	Professional Land S Geodetic • Forensic •	Surveyors Project No. (CR5) Consultants Scale: 1"= 50'± Drown Br. JF D	

•

Administrative Comments

CASE NO.: REV20-05-004	
NAME OF STREET OR ROAD:	An unopened portion of Craftsman Court
NAME OF SUBDIVISION:	Covington Place Cottages Subdivision
WARD: 3	PARISH COUNCIL DISTRICT: 2
PROPERTY LOCATION:	The property is located east of Ruby Street and west of Covington Cottage Lane in Phase 1 of the Covington Place Cottages Subdivision, south of Covington, Louisiana, Ward 3, Council District 2.
SURROUNDING ZONING:	PUD Planned Unit Development
PETITIONER/REPRESENTATIVE:	Tidal Group, LLC

STAFF COMMENTARY:

Department of Planning & Development Comments:

The applicant is proposing to revoke an unopened portion of Craftsman Court. The portion of Craftsman Court proposed for revocation consists of two (2) small turnabouts that were recorded (by previous developer) with the original Phase 1 Plat of the Covington Place Cottages Subdivision. Now that the current developer, Tidal Group, LLC has re-designed Phase 2 of the subdivision, these two (2) turnabouts are in the wrong place and need to be revoked. It should be noted that Tidal Group, LLC owns all property that abuts the rights-of-way proposed for revocation.

Recommendation:

۰.

The Staff believes that the proposal is consistent relative to the Louisiana Revised Statutes governing the revocation of immovable property since the right-of-way does not appear to serve any public use or benefit, other than to the applicant. Therefore, the staff has no objections to the proposed revocation request.